FCC Received Systember 23, 1994 @ 4 40 pm. DOCKET FLE TOPY ORIGINO RIGINAL

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROC	EEDINGS	
2		001	2 7 1994
3	Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS		
4	Washington, D.C.	20554	YPATERUSE NET N
5			
6	IN THE MATTER OF:		
7	SCRIPPS HOWARD BROADCASTING COMPANY For Renewal of License of Station		
8	WMAR-TV, Baltimore, Maryland and	MM DOCKET	NO. 93-94
9	FOUR JACKS BROADCASTING, INC. For a Construction Permit for a New		
10	Television Facility on Channel 2 at Baltimore, Mayland		
11	, <u>-</u>		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			j
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	DATE OF HEARING: September 14, 1994	VOLUME:	22
25	PLACE OF HEARING: Washington, D.C.	PAGES:	2120-2232

1	Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
2	Washington, D.C. 20554
3	OCT 2 7 1994
4	IN THE MATTER OF:) FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
5	For Renewal of License of Station)
6	WMAR-TV, Baltimore, Maryland) and) MM DOCKET NO. 93-94
7	FOUR JACKS BROADCASTING, INC.) For a Construction Permit for a New)
8	Television Facility on Channel 2 at) Baltimore, Mayland)
9	
10	The above-entitled matter came on for hearing pursuant to notice before Judge Richard L. Sippel,
11	Administrative Law Judge, at 2000 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. on Wednesday, September 14, 1994 at 10:01 a.m.
12	APPEARANCES:
13	On behalf of Scripps Howard Broadcasting Co.:
14	SEAN H. LANE, ESQUIRE
15	KENNETH C. HOWARD, JR., ESQUIRE LEONARD C. GREENEBAUM, ESQUIRE RONALD WICK, ESQUIRE
16	Baker & Hostetler 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
17	Washington, D.C. 20036
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCI	ES:
2	On behalf	of Four Jacks Broadcasting, Inc.:
3		KATHRYN R. SCHMELTZER, ESQUIRE GREGORY L. MASTERS, ESQUIRE
4		MARTIN LEADER, ESQUIRE Fisher Wayland Cooper Leader & Zaragoza
5		2001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 400
6		Washington, D.C. 20006-1851
7	On behalf	of Mass Media Bureau:
8		ROBERT A. ZAUNER, ESQUIRE Federal Communications Commission
9		2025 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		I	N D E X			İ
2	Recomination of		Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross
3	Examination of: Dr. Frederick G. Smi	+ h	Direct	CIUSS	REUTTECC	RECTOSE
4		CII		2127		
5	by Mr. Greenebaum by Mr. Zauner			2220		
6	Four Jacks	Marked	Received	Rejec	ted With	drawn
7	Exhibit No. 28	Harked	2127	<u> </u>		
8	EXHIBIT NO. 28		2127			
9						İ
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						ĺ
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						i
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25	Hearing Began: 10:01	a.m.	H	learing	Ended: 1	2:31 a.m.

PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE SIPPEL: We're on the record. I just have a few preliminary matters I'd like to address. Perhaps to be taken in the nature of some, some good news and some bad news depending on how you view it.

First of all, I, I have worked with the reporter yesterday afternoon and this morning with respect to the marking of the tabs on Exhibit 40. And the practical, the practicalities of the procedures that I outlined yesterday came home to me very evidently that what we're going to need to do, what I'm going to need to do is consider the evidence as it's marked and, and moved and received into evidence yesterday. In other words, there will be no return of the volumes to Scripps Howard for revisions and whatnot.

The instructions are clear in terms of what I'm going to consider and what I'm not going to consider. The reporter has to, to be more specific in terms of the, my mechanical concerns. The contract requires the reporter to mark two sets of all of these exhibits. And then if she turns one over, one back to Scripps Howard or even to, to make the revisions, then what do we do with the second one? And if she gives two back, it starts to get more complicated. And the efficiencies shift the, the other way.

So I've just simply reconsidered that. And as I say, I'm convinced that nobody is going to be prejudiced by

this. After yesterday's session, we're all quite familiar
with what we, what's been testified to, what's been considered
with respect to those two volumes. So nobody is going to be
surprised or disadvantaged.
Secondly, I know we spent some time yesterday on the

Secondly, I know we spent some time yesterday on the evidence with respect to the promissory notes. And I've got -- I'm not going to say that I'm going to reconsider anything that I ruled on. But I am going to qualify. I permitted to remain -- I remember Ms. Schmeltzer brought this to my attention. After I had ruled that I wasn't going to consider the promissory notes and a few checks surrounding them, Exhibits 19 through 24 specifically, Ms. Schmeltzer pointed out well, then there's no need to have No. 41 in, Exhibit 41, which has to do with the indenture, etc. And I said well, no, it's already in evidence, so we're not going to spend any time on that.

Going back over my, my notes yesterday and focusing more specifically on 41, I find that there, there is a definite reference, I think it's a sub-part, VII, page 3 or 4, whatever, that gets into this subject. And I had some, some of my own notes on the side indicating what Mr. David Smith was testifying to.

My ruling is amended to this, to this extent.

What's in the record is in the record as evidence. Forty-one is in the, in the record as evidence. Mr. David Smith's

1 |testimony with respect to subject of the loans is in the

- 2 record. The only thing I'm excluding is Exhibits 19 through
- 3 24. And again, that's based on my discretion under 403 of the
- 4 Federal Rules of Evidence. I just don't think that it's
- 5 necessary to, to take the time to delineate all of the
- 6 evidence surrounding those particular exhibits. But I think
- 7 in, at least in substance, Mr. Greenebaum, your brick has been
- 8 returned to you.
- 9 MR. GREENEBAUM: Thank you, Your Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: For, for those purposes. Ms.
- 11 | Schmeltzer, yes.
- 12 MS. SCHMELTZER: Yeah, if I may just make one
- 13 comment on that.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, ma'am.
- 15 MS. SCHMELTZER: The reason that I requested you to
- 16 reconsider your ruling was based on the point that Mr. Zauner
- 17 | raised which was that this indenture was done after the loans
- 18 | in question. The loans in question originated in the early
- 19 1990s. This indenture was '94 I believe.
- MR. ZAUNER: Dated as of December 9th, 1993.
- MS. SCHMELTZER: I'm sorry. December 9th, 1993,
- 22 | filed January 6, 1994. And so this indenture could not have
- 23 | related to those particular notes.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: I, I understand that. I understand
- 25 | that. And that's part and parcel of why I don't want to get

1	into Exhibits 19 through 24. I don't want to have to spend
2	the time arguing whether or not it's, it's some or all or none
3	of that evidence can be considered in connection with 41. I
4	think it's clean right now. Forty-one is in. The witness
5	testified to a degree with respect to what the, what the non-
6	practice, non-policy was with respect to loans to employees.
7	And as I say, I think there's something there. How much there
8	is is still to be determined. But whatever it is, I'm going
9	to let it in, and certainly there can be findings asked with
10	respect to that evidence if you're convinced yourself that
11	there's substantive evidence there that, that merits a
12	finding.
13	MR. GREENEBAUM: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: You bet. All right. We now have our
15	last witness today.
16	MS. SCHMELTZER: Yes. Four Jacks calls Frederick G.
17	Smith to the witness stand.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Would you come forward, please, Mr.
19	Smith?
20	Whereupon,
21	DR. FREDERICK G. SMITH
22	was called as a witness, and after having been first duly
23	sworn testified through as follows:
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Please be seated. Ms. Schmeltzer.
25	MS. SCHMELTZER: Yes. We have previously marked for

1	identification as Four Jacks Exhibit 28 a five-page
2	declaration that's been signed by Frederick G. Smith. And I
3	would ask that that be received into evidence.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Are the will the objections be the
5	same as with respect to yesterday's objections?
6	MR. GREENEBAUM: Yes, Your Honor. And as long as
7	that's understood, we don't need to articulate them again for
8	the purpose of the
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I, I appreciate your
10	facilitating that way. My rulings would be the same with
11	respect to this witness's testimony. And so subject to those
12	objections and my rulings, Exhibit 28, the written testimony
13	of Mr. Frederick G. Smith, is now received in evidence as Four
14	Jacks Exhibit 28. Ms. Schmeltzer.
15	(Whereupon, the document referred to as
16	Four Jacks Exhibit No. 28 was received
17	into evidence.)
18	MS. SCHMELTZER: Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Smith
19	is available for cross-examination.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. Mr. Greenebaum.
21	MR. GREENEBAUM: Has Your Honor sworn the witness?
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, I did.
23	MR. GREENEBAUM: I'm sorry.
24	CROSS-EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. GREENEBAUM:

1	Q	Would you state your full name please, sir, for the
2	record?	
3	A	Frederick Smith.
4	Q	And are you still practicing dentistry from time to
5	time?	
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Dr. Smith, where are you employed at the present
8	time?	
9	A	Employed went over this for my deposition with
10	you.	
11	Q	Yes, sir.
12	A	Think I'm employed as an independent contractor.
13	And you po	ointed out I'm employed as a sole proprietor. My
14	private p	ractice and that's the only area that I'm employed in
15	a convent.	ional sense.
16	Q	You don't have any contract as an independent
17	contracto	r with anyone, do you?
18	A	I have a verbal contract.
19	Q	No written contract.
20	A	Nothing worded.
21	Q	What do you mean by sole proprietor?
22	A	Well, it's a term you used.
23	Q	Well, that's why I want to ask you. I don't want
24	you	
25	A	I don't know what it means. I, I consider myself an

independent contractor. You're the one that said I'm a sole 1 2 proprietor. Well, which are you? Q 3 I think I'm an independent contractor. Α 4 Do you have any written contract with any entity? 5 0 The verbal contract. 6 Α Where do you work as independent contractor? 7 I work for Office of Michael Schwartz (phonetic Α 8 9 sp.), DDS, PA. And my recollection is you go there from time to 10 Q 11 time and you use a room. 12 Α Yes, sir. And --13 0 I use three rooms. 14 Α And patients show up for your -- is that -- you have 15 16 to speak up so the --17 Α Yes. And you don't know how they get there, who schedules 18 them or who makes the arrangements. 19 I'm going to object, Your Honor. 20 MR. ZAUNER: 21 is the relevance of his dental practice? And how patients are 22 scheduled and what time he shows up and how many rooms are in 23 the office? Is this relevant? JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm going to overrule the objection. 24 It's his background. It's his -- Mr. Greenebaum will bring it

1	up to foo	cus I'm sure. You may, you may continue, Mr.
2	Greenebau	ım.
3		WITNESS: There are patients scheduled, that's
4	correct.	
5		BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
6	Q	You don't know who schedules them or how.
7	A	No.
8	Q	When you show up
9	A	It's a whole staff that schedules them.
10	Q	You don't know who's going to be there or
11	A	No.
12	Q	whether you're going to have a patient or not.
13	A	Generally speaking that's the case.
14	Q	What, what is your what are your hours and days
15	at your d	dental practice at the present time?
16	A	7:15 to 8:15, 8:30-ish, Monday, Wednesday, Thursday.
17	Then Frid	lay it's 7:15, 7:30-ish to 11, 11:30 usually.
18	Q	Okay. I want to move on from this area, but I want
19	to ask yo	ou one last question.
20	A	Sure.
21	Q	Were you ever employed in your dental practice as an
22	employee	of a corporation of any kind?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And that was a wholly-owned corporation?
25	A	It was a professional corporation.

1	Q	And you considered yourself an employee of that
2	corporation	on, did you not?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And you had no bosses.
5	A	Well, I had office manager, and I had associates
6	that were	essentially co-equals. They were my bosses I guess.
7	Q	The name of the practice was Frederick G. Smith, MS,
8	DDS, PA, a	Professional Corporation.
9	A	Right.
10	Q	And you were the sole officer, director and
11	stockholde	er, were you not, sir?
12	A	No, I think my wife is, was a officer.
13	Q	Would you look at tab 17 on Exhibit 40 which is in
14	front of y	you.
15	A	I think she was the secretary. Tab 17?
16		JUDGE SIPPEL: That's the big set in front of you.
17		WITNESS: Tab 17?
18		JUDGE SIPPEL: It may be in the second volume.
19	There's tw	vo volumes.
20		WITNESS: Okay. This doesn't have a tab 17.
21		MR. GREENEBAUM: I'll get off of this as soon as I
22	can, Your	Honor
23		WITNESS: Tab 17 is okay, I'm on tab 17.
24		BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
25	Q	That's from an SEC filing

1	A Correct.
_	
2	Q dated December 6th, 1993. Look at page 57 at the
3	bottom of the page. Call your attention to the first
4	paragraph at the top of the page.
5	A Right.
6	Q See where it says Frederick G. Smith?
7	A Right.
8	Q Would you read that paragraph to yourself?
9	A Served as vice president of the company since 1990,
10	as a director since 1986. From prior to September 1988 until
11	joining the company full time as a director, Smith was a
12	surgical dentist engaged in prior practice employed by
13	Frederick G. Smith, MS a professional corporation of which
14	Dr. Smith was the sole officer, director and stockholder.
15	Q Does that refresh your recollection as to who owned
16	stock?
17	A Yeah, I, I thought I just stated that.
18	Q Well, it says you're the whole officer, director and
19	stockholder, does it not?
20	A Yeah, but I think my, I think I'm not sure that's
21	accurate. I think my wife was, was secretary or something.
22	Now whether she was a director or not, I don't know. But I
23	think she was secretary of the corporation.
24	Q But you did consider yourself the employee of a
25	company in which you were the sole stockholder.

1	A Well, employee in the sense that I took out monies,
2	yeah. And nobody I had to answer to.
3	Q Same situation that you say you have with Sinclair
4	at the present time, isn't that correct?
5	A Nah, you could make parallels, yes.
6	Q Now sir, are you employed at the present time by
7	anybody other than in connection with your dental practice?
8	A Not once again, we discussed this in my
9	deposition with you last time. And I tried to point out to
10	you that in Sinclair Broadcast Group, we went through a whole
11	litany of 3 or 4 hours of information, showing me a W-2 and
12	health insurance, etc. And I pointed out to you that I'm not,
13	I don't consider myself an employee in a conventional sense.
14	Q Do you have a job?
15	A Define what you mean a job where I'd have to go
16	and do something specific on a day-to-day basis?
17	Q Which of those words are you having trouble with,
18	Mr. Smith?
19	A I'm having trouble determining what you mean in
20	defining a job.
21	Q Well, let me call your attention to your deposition
22	of July 19, 1994, page 5.
23	A What page is that on please?
24	MR. LANE: We're going to give you a copy of that.
25	WITNESS: What, what is the date, Mr. Greenebaum,

1	please?
2	MR. GREENEBAUM: July 19, 1994, page 5. I'll start
3	with line 15. I'll read earlier lines if counsel wishes me to
4	do so.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, wait until everybody gets
6	their copies. Let's go off the record a minute.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay, here, we're fine.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Hold just a second get everybody
9	up to speed. What page are you on?
10	MR. GREENEBAUM: Page 5, Your Honor. Your focus
11	is
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's go back on the record.
13	MS. SCHMELTZER: Yeah, I would like you to read
14	beginning with line 9 because of the context of the entity
15	that you were questioning about.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Are we on the record?
17	COURT REPORTER: Yes.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's good. Okay.
19	MR. GREENEBAUM: Question, "Where are you employed
20	at the present time?"
21	Answer, "Well, I'm an independent contractor. My
22	dental practice I'm not employed by anybody so to speak.
23	I'm an independent contractor. Could you define what you mean
24	by employment?"
25	Question, "I don't believe I have to define that.

1	You know what employment means. Do you have a job?" "
2	Yeah, I have a job."
3	"Do you get paid?"
4	"I get paid."
5	"And do you go to work at a specific place and time?
6	Do you have a title?"
7	"I have a lot of titles."
8	"Do you have duties?"
9	"Let me think about that. No specific duties are
10	required of me."
11	"Do you receive a paycheck?"
12	Answer, "Yes." You see those?
13	WITNESS: Yeah.
14	MR. GREENEBAUM: Now you were talking about Sinclair
15	there, weren't you, sir?
16	MS. SCHMELTZER: Objection, Your Honor. The
17	question
18	MR. GREENEBAUM: This is cross-examination, Your
19	Honor.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm going to
21	MS. SCHMELTZER: Objection, Your Honor. The, the
22	I don't see any mention of Sinclair in that discussion.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm going to permit the question to
24	be asked.
25	MR. GREENEBAUM: Thank you.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Overruled. Go ahead, Mr. Smith.
2	WITNESS: Well, I could have been referring I, I
3	don't remember specifically at the time what I was referring
4	to. It could have been my practice. Could have been
5	referring to other things that I do outside my practice and
6	outside of television.
7	BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
8	Q Do you have a lot of titles at your practice?
9	A I'm an independent contractor. Other than doctor.
10	Q Mr. Smith, are you employed by anyone at the is
11	Sinclair a corporation?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Do you have a board of directors?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Do you have shareholders?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Do you have annual meetings?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Do you have employees, Sinclair?
20	A Well, let me go back on that issue. I told you in
21	my deposition before that we didn't have any employees. And I
22	didn't think we had any employees. But turns out that you
23	corrected me, and I went back and checked and you're right.
24	We do have employees. So I don't want to appear to be giving
25	a lot of contradictory information here. But you were

T	correct. I was wrong.
2	Q Has your situation at Sinclair changed in any
3	respect since July 1, 1991?
4	A And once again, I gave you that if I recall, you
5	asked me that very same question before. And my answer was
6	I'm spending less time on a day-to-day basis there. I'm not
7	there 5 days a week necessarily.
8	Q Have your duties and responsibilities changed since
9	you went to work there?
10	A No. There were never any once again, there were
11	never if you define there are we defining there as SPG,
12	BSF, PGH, IIB, PPA
13	Q Sinclair.
14	A Sinclair. There were never any specific duties
15	other than director and officer.
16	Q So you never really had any job duties at Sinclair
۱7	ever since you've been there.
18	A Well, nobody ever sat down and said you have to come
L 9	in at 9 a.m. and do this, this and this. I do whatever is
20	necessary to get the job done.
21	Q Did you have any assigned duties at anytime since
22	you went to work or became associated with Sinclair in any
23	respect in 1991?
4	A Do you mean did anyone specifically tell me go do
5	this, or did I take my own initiative and go do it on my own?

1 Well, what is your present -- what was your job 2 title in 1991 when you went to work? 3 Α Recollection is officer-director. 0 And what officer were you? 5 Α The vice president. And as vice president, did you have any duties at 6 0 that time? 7 8 Α Well, once again, my answer to the question is is that there is no -- no one said to me hey, Fred Smith, go do 9 this. Fred Smith did what he thought was appropriate to do. 10 So that's not the standard kind of relationship. 11 12 Q Now when you testified in your direct case on 13 November 16, 1993 before Judge Sippel, and I cross-examined 14 you, you had no problem with the concept that you were 15 employed by Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. at that time, did 16 you, sir? 17 MS. SCHMELTZER: Objection. The witness hasn't been 18 shown this --19 MR. GREENEBAUM: I don't have to show the witness 20 anything, Your Honor. 21 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, the witness -- yeah. Well, 22 let's see what the witness, how the witness answers the 23 question and then determine whether or not it's got to be 24 shown to him.

> FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Depositions D.C. Area (301) 261-1902 Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

I think the issue here, Judge, is, is that

25

WITNESS:

|counsel, Mr. Greenebaum, keeps wanting me to say that I'm an 1 2 employee of Sinclair Broadcast Group. And in the sense that 3 we get a W-2, get health insurance, that's a traditional relationship. But the reality of it is I'm not really an 4 employee in the sense that I don't have anyone telling me what 5 to do. I go and come as I please. I have no specific job 6 description. I'm specifically an officer and a director. 7 And it's -- thinking about this it's sort of like 8 9 the, the sea with fish in it. If you look at fish and sharks, 10 and you ask the average person is that -- are they fish? 11 Yeah, they're all fish. But the reality is a shark is not a A shark is an elasmobranch. It's not related to the 12 13 It's not like a fish. From biology standpoint. fish. 14 the outside person is looking, that's a fish. That's not a 15 fish. So from an outside standpoint, the average person 16 17 looking at me, they might say hey, that guy is an employee 18 because he has a W-2, he has retirement, and he has health 19 insurance. But the reality of it is it's not a typical 20 relationship. That's the point I'm trying to bring out. And 21 I think it's what Dr. -- Mr. Greenebaum was driving at all 22 along in my deposition. And I'm trying to cut through all 23 that and make that statement initially. 24 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I appreciate how you're trying 25 to assist us on this, Dr. Smith. But the way the rules apply

1	here is Mr. Greenebaum conducts his cross-examination. He
2	asks you a question, and you answer the question unless
3	there's an objection from your counsel, and I sustain the
4	objection. Right now he has a question to you. Do you recall
5	your testimony back in '93? And you're being asked do you
6	recall that. The substance of what he has quoted to you, do
7	you recall it?
8	WITNESS: And the question, Mr. Greenebaum, was?
9	MR. GREENEBAUM: Let me refer you to page 1371 of
10	your direct testimony day, November 16, 1993.
11	WITNESS: What, what page is that on please?
12	MS. SCHMELTZER: The question I don't believe
13	1371 is direct testimony.
14	MR. GREENEBAUM: I'm sorry. It's cross.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's cross-examination. Do you have
16	a copy? Can, can
17	MR. GREENEBAUM: Yes, we'll give him a copy.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: you put a copy before Dr. Smith?
19	MR. GREENEBAUM: That's what I'm going to do to just
20	be quicker.
21	WITNESS: Thank you. Page 1371?
22	MR. GREENEBAUM: Yes, sir.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is where Mr. Greenebaum was
24	cross-examining you back in 1993.
25	WITNESS: Proceed, Mr. Greenebaum. 1371.

1	BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
2	Q Look at line 9 through 17, and read those to
3	yourself on page 1371.
4	A I've looked at it.
5	Q And does that refresh your recollection that you
6	testified when I asked you these questions and you gave me the
7	answers that you were employed by Sinclair Broadcast Group?
8	A Yeah, I think you asked me this once again in my
9	deposition, most recent deposition. And what I told you it's
10	a semantics issue in my mind.
11	Q And you
12	A I'm not denying that I didn't say that. I did say
13	that. But it's a semantics issue in your interpretation of my
14	answer.
15	Q Now sir, let me hand you Exhibit 46 which is the
16	exhibit to the application filed on behalf of you and your
17	brothers' project.
18	A What page is this on please?
19	Q Exhibit 46. It's on FCC Form 301, Exhibit No. 6,
20	page 3.
21	A Six, page three.
22	Q It says "Frederick G. Smith" in the middle of the
23	page.
24	A All right.
25	Q Now

1	A Right. This is the same thing we, I just talked
2	about in my most recent deposition. You asked me the same
3	question.
4	Q There's no question pending, Mr. Smith Dr. Smith
5	other than I request that you read that language. Have you
6	read it?
7	A Yes.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: I, I should explain to Dr. Smith,
9	the, the fact that your deposition was taken, that was taken
10	only for purposes of preparing for the testimony today.
11	Unless your deposition testimony is read into this record,
12	your deposition testimony doesn't
13	WITNESS: Exist.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: doesn't count. That's correct.
15	WITNESS: Okay.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm hearing it today for the first
17	time.
18	WITNESS: Okay.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Greenebaum.
20	BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
21	Q Are, are you now suggesting that the statement that
22	he became a full-time employee of Sinclair Broadcast Group,
23	Inc. on July 1, 1991 is not correct?
24	A As I said, Mr. Greenebaum, it's a matter of
25	semantics. I'm not saying that's incorrect. I'm just it's

1	not complete.
2	Q Is there anything equivocal about that statement as
3	you read it, would call
4	A Only what I, only what I previously said.
5	Q But as you read that statement
6	A But that's a medical issue.
7	Q is there any doubt in your mind that someone
8	reading that would take it to mean that you considered
9	yourself a full-time employee of Sinclair Broadcast Group,
10	Inc. on July 1
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Hold it. Is
12	MS. SCHMELTZER: Objection. Because this witness
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sustained.
14	MS. SCHMELTZER: did not
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sustained.
16	MR. GREENEBAUM: Do you see anything in that
17	sentence that you think would cause someone to believe that
18	you were not a full-time employee as of July 1, 1991?
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Same basis. I'm not going to require
20	him to answer that question.
21	MR. GREENEBAUM: Is the next sentence correct that
22	prior to July 1, 1991 and for the year preceding that date you
23	worked approximately 25 to 30 hours per week?
24	WITNESS: Let me go back to the statement I put it
25	then. Yeah, I made that statement.